

1 JOHN C. KIRKE, SBN 175055
2 johnk@donahue.com
3 ANDREW S. MACKAY, SBN 197074
4 andrew@donahue.com
5 DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP
6 1999 Harrison Street, 25th Floor
7 Oakland, California 94612-3520
8 Telephone: (510) 451-0544
9 Facsimile: (510) 832-1486

10
11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 TARA TIGER, INC., PAUL TIGER and
13 DOROTHY PATRICIA MOLLER TIGER

14
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17
18 MARION L. MARZOCCHI, individually
19 and dba PRAPATA,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 TARA TIGER, INC., a California
23 corporation, PAUL TIGER, an individual,
24 DOROTHY PATRICIA MOLLER
25 TIGER, an individual and DOES 1-10,

26 Defendants.

27 Case No: C 12-04433 JSC

28
**OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF
RAY SHAHANI RE PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO STRIKE ANSWER**

Date: December 27, 2012
Time: 9:00 AM
Ctrm: F, 15th Floor
Judge: Hon. Jacqueline S. Corley

Evidentiary Objections

Defendants Tara Tiger, Inc., Paul Tiger and Dorothy Moller Tiger (collectively "Defendants") hereby object to and move to strike the Declaration of Ray Shahani ("Shahani") filed with the Motion to Strike Defendant's Answer ("Motion to Strike").

In Shahani's declaration, Shahani fails to recite the specific language regarding perjury under the laws of the United States, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1746, the only statute authorizing Plaintiff to submit Shahani's unsworn declaration as evidence in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Strike. Instead, Shahani's declaration refers to the perjury laws of the State of California. A promise to adhere to California law is insufficient to satisfy the statutory regime governing the submission of unsworn declarations in a United States District Court. 28 U.S.C. §1746. By explicitly referencing perjury laws of California while excluding the perjury laws of the United States, Shahani avoids implicating the federal statutes which safeguard the reliability of evidence submitted to this Court.

In addition, Defendants specifically object to the following statements made in Shahani's declaration:

1. Paragraph 4. Shahani's threat to file a motion to strike the Defendant Tara Tiger Inc.'s Answer unless the Answer was withdrawn is irrelevant to Plaintiff's argument that the Defendant's Answer is defective. Irrelevant evidence is inadmissible. (Fed. R. Evid. 402).

2. Paragraph 4. Shahani's comments that Tara Tiger, Inc. is a suspended corporation and unable to defend itself were made without personal knowledge of Tara Tiger Inc.'s corporate status. (Fed. R. Evid. 602).

3. Paragraph 4. Shahani's belief that Tara Tiger, Inc. is unauthorized to defend itself is a legal opinion not within the typical knowledge of a lay witness. Shahani has not been offered or qualified as an expert to offer opinions on legal conclusions regarding corporate authority to act. (Fed. R. Evid. 702).

4. Paragraph 5, Exhibit 3. Shahani's reference to John Kirke's ("Kirke") statements and the documents in Exhibit 3 are irrelevant to Tara Tiger, Inc.'s current standing. with the Secretary of State. Irrelevant evidence is inadmissible. (Fed. R. Evid. 402).

1 5. Paragraph 5, Exhibit 3. Shahani's description of the documents in Exhibit 3 as
2 "un-notarized, un-filed and un-certified copies" lacks personal knowledge. (Fed. R. Evid. 602).

3 6. Paragraph 6. Shahani's comments that Kirke failed to provide legal authority in
4 Kirke's email to Shahani is irrelevant to the facts or arguments supporting Plaintiff's Motion to
5 Strike. Irrelevant evidence is inadmissible. (Fed. R. Evid. 402).

6 7. Paragraph 6, Exhibit 4. Shahani lacks personal knowledge that the referenced
7 documents are "un-notarized, unfiled, and uncertified." (Fed. R. Evid. 602).

8 8. Paragraph 8, Exhibit 6. Shahani's email sent to Kirke reproduced in Exhibit 6 is
9 irrelevant to Plaintiff's argument that Defendants' Answer should be stricken. The fact that Kirke
10 declined to respond to Shahani's email is also irrelevant to arguments in Plaintiff's Motion to
11 Strike. Irrelevant evidence is inadmissible. (Fed. R. Evid. 402).

12 9. Paragraph 9, Exhibit 7. Exhibit 7 from the Secretary of State's website and
13 Shahani's accompanying analysis are irrelevant because the past, one-time corporate status of
14 Tara Tiger, Inc. with the California Secretary of State does not support Plaintiff's Motion to
15 Strike. The information in Exhibit 7 is outdated and has been superseded by newer information.
16 (Fed. R. Evid. 402).

17 At the hearing, Defendants will respectfully request the court to strike the Declaration of
18 Ray Shahani because it fails the statutory requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that all unsworn
19 declarations submitted to the court are made under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United
20 States. In addition, Defendants will respectfully request the court to sustain the specific
21 objections and to strike the evidence referred to above.

22 Dated: November 29, 2012

DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP

24 By:

John C. Kirke
Attorneys for Defendants
TARA TIGER, INC., PAUL TIGER, and
DOROTHY PATRICIA MOLLER TIGER